



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/583,695	05/31/2000	Michael E. Tasker	2705-111	5271

20575 7590 07/09/2004

MARGER JOHNSON & MCCOLLOM PC  
1030 SW MORRISON STREET  
PORTLAND, OR 97205

EXAMINER

HOM, SHICK C

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2666

DATE MAILED: 07/09/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/583,695

Applicant(s)

TASKER, MICHAEL E.

Examiner

Shick C Horn

Art Unit

2666

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

## Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 June 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

## Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Art Unit: 2666

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Response to Arguments***

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-21 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### ***Claim Objections***

2. Claims 9 and 18-21 are objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 9 line 3, the words "off-hook signaling" seem to refer back to "off-hook indicator" recited in claim 1 line 6. If this is true, it is suggested changing "off-hook signaling" to ---off-hook indicator---. In claim 18 line 9, the words "the routed telephone" seem to refer back to "the routed telephone call" recited in claim 18 line 7. If this is true, it is suggested changing "the routed telephone" to ---the routed telephone call---. Appropriate correction is required.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

3. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 2666

In claim 1 line 7, claim 18 lines 5-6, 7, 11-12, claim 20 line 3 which recite "the remote telephone" lacks clear antecedent basis because no remote telephone have been previously recited in the claim and therefore the limitation is not clearly understood. In claim 2 line 1, claim 8 line 3, claim 10 line 12, claim 13 line 1 which recite "said first and said second signaling" lack clear antecedent basis. In claim 3 line 1 which recite "said in-band signaling" lack clear antecedent basis. In claim 9 lines 3-4 which recite "said on-hook signaling" lacks clear antecedent basis. In claim 10 line 5, claim 14 line 5 which recite "the local PSTN" lacks clear antecedent basis.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this

Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2666

5. Claims 1-2, 4-5, 8-18, and 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dowling et al. (6,574,239) in view of Christie, IV (6,430,176).

Regarding claim 1:

Dowling et al. disclose the method for maintaining a virtual presence of a first remote telephone user in a PBX system having a frame relay network connection between two endpoint routers while permitting the first remote user to make local calls (see Fig. 5 which shows maintaining a virtual session 540 while establish a second connection 520 and resuming the maintained session later 530; further col. 1 lines 15-45 and col. 2 lines 48-65 recite the use of a PBX, the phone calls, the packet switched network, i.e. a form of frame relay, and routers), the method comprising: routing a telephone call placed at the remote telephone in accordance with a defined protocol outside the PBX (see col. 11 lines 42-55 which recite providing an outside phone line to the user, i.e. outside the PBX).

Regarding claim 10:

Dowling et al. disclose the private branch exchange (PBX) conditioning apparatus for use in an endpoint router having a public switched telephone network (PSTN) connection and a voice-equipped frame relay network connection (see Fig. 5 which shows maintaining a virtual session 540 while establish a second

Art Unit: 2666

connection 520 and resuming the maintained session later 530; further col. 1 lines 15-45 and col. 2 lines 48-65 recite the use of a PBX, the phone calls, the packet switched network, i.e. a form of frame relay, and routers), the apparatus comprising: a mechanism for selectively routing a telephone call placed at a PBX-connected telephone to the local PSTN outside the PBX (see col. 11 lines 42-55 which recite providing an outside phone line to the user, i.e. outside the PBX).

Regarding claim 14:

Dowling et al. disclose the Private branch exchange (PBX) conditioning apparatus for use in an endpoint router having a public switched telephone network (PSTN) connection and a voice-equipped frame relay network connection (see Fig. 5 which shows maintaining a virtual session 540 while establish a second connection 520 and resuming the maintained session later 530; further col. 1 lines 15-45 and col. 2 lines 48-65 recite the use of a PBX, the phone calls, the packet switched network, i.e. a form of frame relay, and routers), the apparatus comprising: means for selectively routing a telephone call placed at a PBX-connected telephone to the local PSTN outside the PBX (see col. 11 lines 42-55 which recite providing an outside phone line to the user, i.e. outside the PBX).

Regarding claim 18:

Art Unit: 2666

Dowling et al. disclose the computer-readable medium containing a program for maintaining a virtual presence of a first remote telephone user in a PBX system having a frame relay network connection between two endpoint routers while permitting the first remote user to make local calls (see Fig. 5 which shows maintaining a virtual session 540 while establish a second connection 520 and resuming the maintained session later 530; further col. 1 lines 15-45 and col. 2 lines 48-65 recite the use of a PBX, the phone calls, the packet switched network, i.e. a form of frame relay, and routers), the program comprising: instructions for routing a telephone call placed at the remote telephone in accordance with a defined protocol outside the PBX (see col. 11 lines 42-55 which recite providing an outside phone line to the user, i.e. outside the PBX).

Regarding claims 4, 20:

Dowling et al. disclose wherein said telephone call-routing is to a public switched telephone network (PSTN) local to the remote telephone (see col. 11 lines 24-55).

Regarding claim 5:

Dowling et al. disclose wherein said telephone call-routing is to another remote telephone user at the same site within the PBX system as the first remote telephone user (see col. 11 lines 24-55).

Art Unit: 2666

Regarding claim 6:

Dowling et al. disclose wherein said call-routing to another remote same-site telephone user is performed by a router having the public switched telephone network (PSTN) local to the remote telephone and wherein said PSTN is used in said call-routing (see col. 11 lines 24-55).

Regarding claim 8:

Dowling et al. disclose forwarding an incoming call directed to the remote telephone to a voice mailbox generally from a time when said first signaling occurs to a time when said second signaling occurs (see col. 8 line 34 to col. 9 line 14).

Regarding claim 9:

Dowling et al. disclose indicating in response to an incoming call directed to the remote telephone that the telephone is busy generally from when said off-hook signaling occurs to when said on-hook signaling occurs (see col. 23 line 65 to col. 24 line 19).

Regarding claims 11, 15:

Dowling et al. disclose wherein said routing mechanism is responsive to a predefined dialing sequence received from the PBX-connected telephone (see col. 11 lines 24-55).

Regarding claims 12, 16, 21:

Art Unit: 2666

Dowling et al. disclose the mechanism for alternatively routing the telephone call placed at the PBX-connected telephone to a same site PBX-connected telephone (see col. 11 lines 24-55).

Regarding claim 13, 17:

Dowling et al. disclose wherein said first and said second signaling mechanisms are operatively coupled to a PBX station interface associated with the PBX (see col. 11 lines 24-55).

Regarding claims 1, 2, 10, 14, and 18:

Dowling et al. did not teach generating an off hook indicator and transmitting the indicator to the PBX; and detecting when the routed telephone call is terminated; and removing the off-hook indicator from the PBX upon detection of termination and that the PBX-connected telephone again is capable of receiving calls wherein the signaling mechanisms including software instructions executed by a processor modify one or more interface status in the PBX as in claims 1, 10, 14, and 18.

Dowling et al. did not teach wherein said first and said second signaling are performed in-band as in claim 2.

Christie, IV from the same or similar fields of endeavor teach that it is known to provide the step of generating an off hook indicator and transmitting the indicator to the PBX; and

Art Unit: 2666

detecting when the routed telephone call is terminated: and removing the off-hook indicator from the PBX upon detection of termination and that the PBX-connected telephone again is capable of receiving calls wherein the signaling mechanisms including software instructions executed by a processor modify one or more interface status in the PBX (see col. 7 line 60 to col. 8 line 31, Fig. 6c, and col. 10 lines 27-36); wherein said first and said second signaling are performed in-band (see col. 5 lines 30-39). Thus, it would have been obvious to the person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the step of generating an off hook indicator and transmitting the indicator to the PBX; and detecting when the routed telephone call is terminated: and removing the off-hook indicator from the PBX upon detection of termination and that the PBX-connected telephone again is capable of receiving calls wherein the signaling mechanisms including software instructions executed by a processor modify one or more interface status in the PBX; wherein said first and said second signaling are performed in-band as taught by Christie, IV in the method and apparatus of Dowling et al. The step of generating an off hook indicator and transmitting the indicator to the PBX; and detecting when the routed telephone call is terminated: and removing the off-hook indicator from the PBX upon detection of

Art Unit: 2666

termination and that the PBX-connected telephone again is capable of receiving calls wherein the signaling mechanisms including software instructions executed by a processor modify one or more interface status in the PBX; wherein said first and said second signaling are performed in-band can be implemented by providing the software for call connection and termination through the PSTN on a PBX of Christie IV in server of Dowling et al. The motivation for providing the step of generating an off hook indicator and transmitting the indicator to the PBX; and detecting when the routed telephone call is terminated: and removing the off-hook indicator from the PBX upon detection of termination and that the PBX-connected telephone again is capable of receiving calls wherein the signaling mechanisms including software instructions executed by a processor modify one or more interface status in the PBX; wherein said first and said second signaling are performed in-band as taught by Christie IV in the server of Dowling et al. being that it provides the desirable added feature of being able to simultaneously establish voice and data (multimedia) communications in the telecommunication infrastructure of Dowling et al.

Art Unit: 2666

6. Claims 3, 7, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dowling et al. (6,574,239) in view of Christie, IV (6,430,176) and further in view of Foodeei et al. (6,445,696).

For claims 3, 7, and 19 Dowling et al. in view of Christie IV disclose the method and computer-readable medium as described in paragraph 5 of this office action.

Dowling et al. in view of Christie IV disclose all the subject matter of the claimed invention with the exception of wherein said in-band signaling is in accordance with an FRF.11 or VToA AAL2 voice over packet protocol as in claims 3, 19; and use of the FRF.11 or VToA AAL2 voice over packet trunk connection as in claim 7.

Foodeei et al. from the similar fields of endeavor teach that it is known to provide in-band signaling being in accordance with an FRF.11 or VToA AAL2 voice over packet protocol; and use of the FRF.11 or VToA AAL2 voice over packet trunk (col. 2 line 40 to col. 3 line 17). Thus, it would have been obvious to the person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the in-band signaling being in accordance with an FRF.11 or VToA AAL2 voice over packet protocol; and use of the FRF.11 or VToA AAL2 voice over packet trunk as taught by Foodeei et al. in the method and

Art Unit: 2666

computer-readable medium of Dowling et al. in view of Christie IV. The motivation for using VToA AAL2 voice over packet protocol and trunk as taught by Foodeei et al. in the method and medium of Dowling et al. in view of Christie IV being that it provides lower development cost due to use of popular and existing standard protocol and trunk in the implementation of the method and medium of Dowling et al. in view of Christie IV.

**Conclusion**

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Kage discloses a personal mobile communications system having central station for paging mobile users via base stations.

8. Any response to this nonfinal action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks  
Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314, (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Art Unit: 2666

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (2600 Receptionist at (703) 305-4750).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shick Hom whose telephone number is (703) 305-4742. The examiner's regular work schedule is Monday to Friday from 8:00 am to 5:30 pm EST and out of office on alternate Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Seema Rao, can be reached at (703) 308-5463.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

SH

June 28, 2004



RECEIVED  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER